



Report for Membership Vote on Proposed Changes to CAPIC Membership Criteria June 2016

Which category of CAPIC Program Member are you representing here (Doctoral Academic, Doctoral Internship, or Postdoctoral)?




Value	Percent	Count
Academic	33.3%	10
Internship	60.0%	18
Postdoc	6.7%	2
	Total	30

Do you wish to vote on all of the proposed Doctoral Academic Criteria amendments together or individually?




Value	Percent	Count
Together.	83.3%	25
Individually.	16.7%	5
	Total	30

I vote to approve the entire slate of proposed changes noted above, as annotated in the CAPIC Doctoral Academic Program Membership Criteria with Proposed Amendments.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	100.0%	25
	Total	25


I vote to approve the first proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #2d: Inclusion of "lifespan" psychology as an area of academic training.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	80.0%	4
Do not approve.	20.0%	1
	Total	5

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the second proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #2d: Modification of "cultural" to "multicultural" competency as an area of academic training.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	100.0%	5
	Total	5

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the third proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #2d: Inclusion of "social justice/diversity" as an area of academic training.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	60.0%	3
Do not approve.	40.0%	2
	Total	5

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the fourth proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #2d: Modification of "Psychodiagnostic" to "Diagnostic" assessment as an area of academic training.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	80.0%	4
Do not approve.	20.0%	1
	Total	5

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the fifth proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #3: Clarification of dues policy.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	80.0%	4
Abstain.	20.0%	1
	Total	5

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the sixth proposed amendment to Doctoral Academic Program membership criteria: Criteria #4: Clarification and guidance on application process for prospective members.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	100.0%	5
	Total	5


NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Doctoral Academic criteria amendments together (from page 3 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.

Do you wish to vote on all of the proposed Internship Criteria amendments together or individually?




Value	Percent	Count
Together.	90.0%	27
Individually.	10.0%	3
	Total	30

I vote to approve the entire slate of proposed changes noted above, as annotated in the CAPIC Doctoral Internship Program Membership Criteria with Proposed Amendments.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	96.3%	26
Abstain.	3.7%	1
	Total	27


I vote to approve the first proposed amendment to Internship Criteria: Criteria #7:
 Reduction of Full-Time weekly didactic requirements from 4 hours to 2 hours.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	66.7%	2
Do not approve.	33.3%	1
	Total	3


NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of Internship criteria amendments together (from page 11 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.

Do you wish to vote on all of the proposed Postdoc Criteria amendments together or individually?




Value	Percent	Count
Together.	80.0%	24
Individually.	20.0%	6
	Total	30

I vote to approve the entire slate of proposed changes noted above, as annotated in the CAPIC Postdoctoral Program Membership Criteria with Proposed Amendments.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	83.3%	20
Do not approve.	4.2%	1
Abstain.	12.5%	3
	Total	24


I vote to approve the first proposed amendment to Postdoc Criteria: Intro Paragraph: Moving language (from Criteria #8) to here, requiring one postdoc fellow for Active Postdoc membership



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	62.5%	5
Do not approve.	25.0%	2
Abstain.	12.5%	1
	Total	8

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of postdoc amendments together (from page 14 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the second proposed amendment to Postdoc Criteria: Criteria #3:
Change "should" to "must" for the requirement of two psychologists



Value	Percent	Count	Entire Slate Together Count (from above)	Total Vote COUNT	Total Vote PERCENT
Approve.	37.5%	3	20	23	71.9%
Do not approve.	50.0%	4	1	5	15.6%
Abstain.	12.5%	1	3	4	12.5%
	Total	8	24	32	100.0%

NOTE: The pie chart corresponds to the counts in the left half of the table, which includes only those members who voted on each item individually, and which shows that 50% do not approve of the proposed change. However, when we include those who voted on the entire slate of postdoc amendments together (from page 9 of this report and added to the right half of the table), the outcome changes, with 71.9% voting to approve of the proposed amendment.


I vote to approve the third proposed amendment to Postdoc Criteria: Criteria #5:
 Removal of redundant language regarding supervision addressed elsewhere.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	87.5%	7
Abstain.	12.5%	1
	Total	8

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of postdoc amendments together (from page 14 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.


I vote to approve the fourth proposed amendment to Postdoc Criteria: Criteria #8: Deletion of entire criteria by (a) moving requirement for one postdoc fellow to Intro Paragraph and (b) removing requirement that postdoc fellow be on site at time of membership application.



Value	Percent	Count
Approve.	100.0%	7
	Total	7

NOTE: The pie chart and table here includes only those members who voted on each proposed amendment individually. Including those who voted on the entire slate of postdoc amendments together (from page 14 of this report) changes the specific count and percentage for this item, but does NOT change the outcome.

I vote to approve the fifth proposed amendment to Postdoc Criteria: Criteria #14:
Removal of stipend hardship exception and related language in Clarifications.



Value	Percent	Count	Entire Slate Together Count (from above)	Total Vote COUNT	Total Vote PERCENT
Approve.	42.9%	3	20	23	74.2%
Do not approve.	57.1%	4	1	5	16.1%
Abstain.	0%	0	3	3	9.7%
	Total	7	24	31	100.0%

NOTE: The pie chart corresponds to the counts in the left half of the table, which includes only those members who voted on each item individually, and which shows that 57.1% do not approve of the proposed change. However, when we include those who voted on the entire slate of postdoc amendments together (on the right half of the table), the outcome changes, with 74.2% voting to approve of the proposed amendment.